

“More Eyes, Fewer Surprises”

By: Andrea Farah, Esq.

John Madden

Learn how partnerships with multiple Securities Litigation Law Firms enhance portfolio monitoring—delivering deeper insights and stronger protections for Public Pension Plans.

Public pension plans already benefit from cost-free portfolio monitoring services provided by qualified securities litigation law firms—helping them identify opportunities to recover losses through securities litigation.

Why rely on just one Securities Law Firm?

The truth is, no single firm sees everything. By engaging multiple monitoring partners, plans gain broader visibility, deeper analysis, and a stronger chance of capturing every eligible claim. It's not just smart—it's strategic. After all, there's more than one way to crack a nut, and in portfolio oversight, more eyes mean fewer surprises.

Not All Law Firms Are Created Equal—Expertise Matters

While most securities class actions stem from a narrow set of federal and state laws governing the issuance and trading of securities, the industries involved vary widely—and so do the complexities of each case. A pharmaceutical-related claim, for instance, may hinge on deep knowledge of clinical trial protocols, FDA submissions, and scientific disciplines like pharmacology and biology. In

contrast, cases in the metals industry might revolve around manufacturing defects, supply chain disruptions, or inventory shrinkage.

That's where specialized expertise makes all the difference

Leading law firms don't just rely on legal experience—they leverage in-house experts, including forensic accountants, financial analysts, investigators, and even medical professionals. This multidisciplinary approach allows them to evaluate potential claims with precision and craft litigation strategies that reflect a deep understanding of the underlying issues.

Distinct Law Firms, Distinct Facts—A Strategic Advantage

Securities litigation law firms employ a variety of investigative methods to uncover potential fraud, including interviews with former employees, consultations with whistleblowers, collaboration with investigative journalists, and the review of anonymous tips from insiders or adversarial parties. These diverse sources provide unique insights and may yield critical evidence that shapes the trajectory of a case.

As a result, each firm may operate with a different set of facts and potential witnesses, leading to varied assessments of case strength and litigation strategy.

This divergence in perspective enhances strategic depth—reinforcing the value of engaging multiple firms in portfolio monitoring

Securities Litigation Law Firms Evaluate Risk and Reward Along a Strategic Continuum

Securities law firms don't just interpret facts differently—they also weigh risk differently. Each firm's approach to balancing case weaknesses against potential outcomes is shaped by its attorneys' subjective professional judgment, individual risk aversion, and objective factors such as empirical data and legal precedent.

Crucially, this evaluation must align with the pension plan's own securities litigation strategy, which defines its preferred risk-reward balance.

More Securities Portfolio Monitors Means More Eyes on the Target

Corporate fraud remains a pervasive risk across industries, management levels, and organizational roles. Publicly traded companies frequently experience value loss due to misconduct. With over 6,000 companies listed on U.S. exchanges, it is impractical to expect a single portfolio monitoring firm to detect and thoroughly assess every suspicious stock decline that may impact a pension plan's valuation.

Engaging multiple monitoring firms enhances coverage, increases the likelihood of identifying fraud-related losses, and strengthens the potential for recovery on behalf of the plan and its beneficiaries.

Conclusion

When faced with potential securities litigation, pension plan trustees carry a critical fiduciary responsibility to determine the most prudent course of action. Law firms differ in their approaches to case evaluation, investigative tools, and strategic insights. And while there may be

more than one way to "crack a nut," the only way trustees can remain fully informed of litigation opportunities—and the recoveries they may yield—is by consulting with qualified securities class action attorneys.

Assistance with Your Securities Litigation Policy Statement

If your plan requires support in updating its Securities Litigation Policy Statement, please contact Lowey Dannenberg at jmadden@lowey.com or afarah@lowey.com. Our team is fully prepared to assist with this important governance function and is available to collaborate directly with your custodians to ensure real-time monitoring of your securities portfolio.



Lowey Dannenberg, P.C., established in 1968 and headquartered in White Plains, New York is a nationally recognized law firm representing institutional clients in complex litigation. Lowey's practice areas encompass securities, commodities, antitrust, healthcare recovery, consumer protection, and data privacy matters.

Leveraging innovative strategies that go beyond traditional class action approaches, Lowey has achieved billions of dollars in recoveries for public pension systems, Fortune 100 corporations, and leading institutional investors.



Andrea Farah, Esq.
Attorney
Securities Litigation
afarah@lowey.com



John Madden
Managing Director
Institutional Client Services
jmadden@lowey.com

